

DRAFT EQIP Ranking Worksheet

Client							Date	March 22, 2004			
Farm / Tract(s)							County				
Sign-Up	EQIP Ranking Period FY 2004						By				
Resource Concerns	Weight Factor (1-25)	CMU 1		CMU 2		CMU 3		CMU 4		CMU 5	
			Score		Score		Score		Score		Score
1. Soil Erosion - Sheet & Rill Erosion	7		0		0		0		0		0
2. Soil Erosion - Wind Erosion	14		0		0		0		0		0
3. Soil Erosion - Classic Gully Erosion	10		0		0		0		0		0
4. Soil Erosion - Streambank and/or Shoreline	1		0		0		0		0		0
5. Soil Erosion - Irrigation Induced	25		0		0		0		0		0
6. Soil Condition - Organic Matter Depletion	14		0		0		0		0		0
7. Water Quantity - Inefficient Water Use on Irrigated Land	25		0		0		0		0		0
8. Water Quality - Excessive Nutrients and Organics	25		0		0		0		0		0
9. Air Quality - Particulate matter less than 10 micrometers (PM10)	1		0		0		0		0		0
10. Plant condition - Noxious and Invasive Plants	5		0		0		0		0		0
11. Plant condition: Productivity, Health & Vigor	9		0		0		0		0		0
12. Domestic Animals - Inadequate Quantities and Quality of Feed and/or Water	7		0		0		0		0		0
13. Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Food/Cover/Water (Aquatics Only)	1		0		0		0		0		0
14. Fish and Wildlife - Inadequate Food/Cover/Water (Terrestrial Only)	5		0		0		0		0		0
15. Reserved for LWG Choice			0		0		0		0		0
16. Reserved for LWG Choice			0		0		0		0		0
Number of Resource Concerns		0		0		0		0		0	
Weighted CMU Score											

The contract application is very likely to benefit the following resources:

- Idaho Selected T&E Species (Aquatics & N. Id. Grd. Squirrel) ☐
- 303d listed water body ☐
- TMDL Implementation Watershed ☐
- Critical Drinking Protection Area ☐
- Ground Water Vulnerability Area ☐
- Groundwater Nitrate Priority Area ☐
- Livestock operation ☐

Average of CMU Scores	
-----------------------	--

NOTES:

Producer Signature of Review: _____

Date: _____